Life Science Informatics Publications



Life Science Informatics Publications

Research Journal of Life Sciences, Bioinformatics, Pharmaceutical and Chemical Sciences





#### **Original Research Article**

# DOI: 10.26479/2018.0405.08

# HYDROXYLAMINE OXIDOREDUCTASE, AN ALTERNATIVE FUNCTIONAL AND PHYLOGENETIC MARKER FOR ANALYZING AUTOTROPHIC AMMONIA OXIDIZING BACTERIA

Radhika Priyadarshan Keluskar<sup>1,2\*</sup>

1. Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology Centre, Faculty of Science, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, Gujarat, India.

2. Central Institute of Fisheries Education (CIFE), Seven Bungalows, Versova, Andheri (W), Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

**ABSTRACT:** In aerobic autotrophic ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB), an octaheme enzyme, hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (*hao*) catalyzes the conversion of hydroxylamine to nitrite. For *hao* to be considered as a functional and phylogenetic marker, sequence based analysis was carried out. Sequence diversity in *hao* gene fragment was assessed and compared with 16S rRNA and ammonia monooxygenase (*amoA*) gene fragments from 21 AOB. Phylogenetic analysis of AOB based on 16S rRNA, *hao*, and *amoA* gene fragments showed similar tree topologies. The diversity of the studied AOB was found to be similar in both richness and evenness for the three genes and the minor differences observed in the Shannon's diversity index were not found to be significant according to t-test and p-values. Co-evolution of *hao* and *amoA* genes was established based on the congruence observed amongst *amoA* and *hao* gene fragments phylogenetic trees, similarities in the rates of synonymous and non-synonymous mutations, correlation plots of *amoA* and *hao* gene fragments (r<sup>2</sup> - 0.9) and their percentage sequence similarity. These evidences suggest high potential of *hao* gene fragment to be used as a functional and phylogenetic marker for the evolutionary evaluation of AOB.

**KEYWORDS:** Co-evolution, phylogenetic marker, autotrophic ammonia oxidizing bacteria, ammonia monooxygenase, hydroxylamine oxidoreductase.

# Corresponding Author: Dr. Radhika Priyadarshan Keluskar\* Ph.D.

Central Institute of Fisheries Education (CIFE), Seven Bungalows, Versova, Andheri (W), Mumbai, India. Email Address: radhikayadav2002@yahoo.com

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In autotrophic ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB), oxidation of ammonia, is the rate limiting step in carrying out nitrification and hence the most important reaction of the global nitrogen cycle [1, 2]. The 16S rRNA gene has been conventionally used as a phylogenetic marker to study the diversity and phylogeny of AOB [1, 3-5] but it does not necessarily correlate with the physiology of the microbes, and close sequence similarities in the 16S rRNA gene may misplace the identified organism in phylogenetically related but physiologically and ecologically unrelated taxa [6, 7]. Additionally, detection of microorganisms present in very low number is difficult with 16S rRNA gene. These limitations can be easily overcome by using genes unique to AOB and reduce the possibility of detecting non-target organisms [8-10]. Oxidation of ammonia to hydroxylamine, the first step of aerobic ammonia oxidation is catalyzed by ammonia monooxygenase (AMO). The a subunit of the enzyme (amoA) is the active site of the enzyme and is an established fine scale molecular marker used frequently for studying the diversity of AOB [11-14]. Existence of amoA ortholog is also documented in autotrophic ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA) through metagenomic studies [15] and has been used in several studies to check the abundance and diversity of both AOB and AOA [8, 16-17]. However, amoA gene is relatively short, highly conserved and has been reported to provide lesser resolution than the 16S rRNA gene [5, 7, 18], it is therefore a required study and establish an alternate unique marker for the phylogenetic analysis of AOB. Hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (HAO), is an octahaem enzyme that catalyzes the second step in the reaction converting hydroxylamine to nitrite in AOB [19]. The use of hao as a molecular marker for identifying AOB was suggested by Shinozaki and Fukui [20], and subsequently Schmid et al. [21] used it to detect AOB from environmental samples. More recently, grouping of AOB through hao gene SSCP analysis has been shown to follow the same pattern as 16S rRNA and amoA gene based analysis [22]. hao, like amoA, is unique to this group of organisms and hence its sequence based analysis as a phylogenetic marker in comparison with amoA and 16S rRNA needs detailed investigation. Proteins, which interact with one another or are involved in the same pathway are reported to co-evolve in order to retain their functional relationships [23, 24]. Recent studies have evidently shown high correlation in branch length of phylogenetic trees of proteins involved in translation and ribosomal activities [24]. Evolutionary relationship between AMO and HAO, involved in the same reaction, has not been studied till date. Holmes et al. [25] showed evidences of evolutionary relationship of AMO with particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) and Klotz and Norton [26] later showed that the concerted relationship between them occurred under AT/GC mutational pressure. HAO, on the other hand has been reported to have evolved from octahaem cytochrome c nitrite reductase [27]. To establish hao gene as an alternative functional and phylogenetic marker, one needs to compare the evolutionary relationship of hao with 16S rRNA gene, a conventional phylogenetic marker and amoA gene fragment as a functional marker.

Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications Accordingly, in the present study, efficiency of *hao* as a phylogenetic marker was evaluated and compared with *amo*A and 16S rRNA genes based phylogenies. The study was further extended towards analyzing the co-evolution of the functional genes (*amo*A and *hao*).

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 Sequence data

Sequences of 16S rRNA, *amo*A and *hao* genes of 21 AOB (9 AOB strains belonging to  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  – subclass of proteobacteria and 12 uncultured AOB such that all the three genes sequences were available) were examined in this study (Table 1). Sequences for the AOB strains were obtained from GenBank, amongst which 12 uncultured AOB sequences were obtained indigenously by the authors in previous studies [22]. The size of *hao, amo*A and 16S rRNA genes ranged from 654bp - 850bp, 453bp - 883bp and 1207bp - 1529bp respectively. Accession numbers of all the genes used in the study are provided in Table 1.

	16S rRNA	amoA	hao
Nitrosomonas sp. ENI 11	AB079053	AB079054	AB030387
Nitrosomonas europaea	AB070983	JN099309	U04053
Nitrosomonas nitrosa	AJ298740	AF272404	FM163624
Nitrosomonas oligotropha	AJ298736	AF272422	FM163625
Nitrosomonas sp. Nm143	AY123794	AY123816	FM163622
Nitrosomonas eutropha C91	NR027566	U51630	CP000450
Nitrosococcus oceanus	M96398	U96611	AY858555
Nitrosospira multiformis	AY123807	DQ228454	AB070980
Nitrosospira briensis	AY123800	U76553	FM163621
Uncultured Bacterium (UN) DnrA	JN099273	JN099285	JN099297
UN DnrB1	JN099274	JN099286	JN099298
UN DnrB2	JN099275	JN099287	JN099299
UN CETP	JN099276	JN099288	JN099300
UN DN1	JN099277	JN099289	JN099301
UN DN2	JN099278	JN099290	JN099302
UN DN3	JN099279	JN099291	JN099303
UN N1	JN099280	JN099292	JN099304
UN N2	JN099281	JN099293	JN099305
UN N3	JN099282	JN099294	JN099306
UN PF1	JN099283	JN099295	JN099307
UN PF2	JN099284	JN099296	JN099308

#### Table 1. Accession numbers of 16S rRNA, amoA and hao genes analyzed in this study

#### 2.2 Mutation and phylogenetic analysis

Multiple sequence alignments were performed using ClustalW. Maximum likelihood estimate of the nucleotide substitution patterns and the mean diversity of the entire population were calculated independently for the three genes using MEGA 4 software. Neighbor Joining trees for 16S rRNA, *amoA* and *hao* gene fragments were generated, based on the nucleotide sequence divergence, using MEGA 4 software. The trees were drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. All positions containing alignment gaps and missing data were eliminated through pair wise sequence comparisons and deletion option. Codon-based evolutionary diversity for the entire population was calculated for *amoA* and *hao* gene fragments. Total synonymous and non-synonymous mutations and their rates of substitution were calculated using MEGA 4.0 software. Correlation plots based on percentage sequence similarity between species were plotted for 16S rRNA, *amoA* and *hao* genes where simple linear regression was applied. Pearson's correlation coefficient was determined using SigmaStat version 3.5 for the sequence similarity between the three genes.

#### 2.3 Statistical analyses

The number of groups obtained in the phylogenetic trees based on 16S rRNA, *amoA* and *hao* gene sequences were used to determine Shannon's diversity index (H') and Shannon's evenness (E) using Abundance Curve calculator by Dr. James A. Danoff-Burg and X. Chen. Shannon's diversity index was calculated according to the following equation [28].

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathrm{Pi}) \log (\mathrm{Pi})$$

Where S is the number of groups obtained in AOB using the three gene fragments and Pi are the number of species in that group. AOB having  $\geq$  98% sequence similarities were considered in one group. Statistical significance of the diversity of AOB calculated through Shannon's diversity index was evaluated as per Shannon's t-test and *p*-values. All calculations were carried out according to Abundance Curve calculator by Dr. James A Danoff-Burg and X. Chen.

Further, congruence amongst the pair of phylogenetic trees (a) *amo*A and 16S rRNA, b) *amo*A and *hao*, c) 16S rRNA and *hao* genes) was statistically analyzed according to Arnaoudova et al. [29]. Statistical hypothesis accordingly were as follows:

H<sub>0</sub>: Phylogenetic trees T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> are congruent. H<sub>0</sub>:  $||v(T_1) - v(T_2)|| = 0$ H1: Phylogenetic trees T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> are incongruent. H<sub>1</sub>:  $||v(T_1) - v(T_2)|| > 0$ 

Where,  $\|v(T_1) - v(T_2)\| = \sqrt{(d_{1,2}^{T_1} - d_{1,2}^{T_2})^2 + \dots + (d_{n-1,n}^{T_1} - d_{n-1,n}^{T_2})^2}$  and  $d_{i,j}^T$  is the pair wise distance between the leaves i and j in tree T.

## Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

www.rjlbpcs.com

Oxidation of ammonia to nitrite in AOB is a two-step process, wherein the first reaction, oxidation of ammonia to hydroxylamine, is catalyzed by ammonia monooxygnase and the second reaction, oxidation of hydroxylamine to nitrite, is catalyzed by hydroxylamine oxidoreductase [30]. Gene coding for the active site of ammonia monoxygenase (*amoA*) has been widely used as a marker for studying the diversity and phylogenetic analysis of AOB [11], but *hao* has not been studied in depth as a functional and phylogenetic marker. Availability of primers for *hao* led to amplification of quite a few *hao* genes sequences [21], which are accessible in the databanks. With the aim to compare *hao* as a marker with *amoA* (a well-established functional marker) and 16S rRNA gene (a conventional phylogenetic marker) the study was initiated by obtaining *hao* sequences from AOB whose *amoA* and 16S rRNA gene sequence data were also available from GenBank (Table 1). Two strains *Nitrosospira* sp. 40KI and *Nitrosospira* sp. III7 were not considered in this study as their *hao* sequences were too short (243bp).

## 3.1 Phylogenetic analysis of AOB with respect to 16S rRNA, amoA and hao genes

Phylogeny of AOB was deduced using 16S rRNA, *amoA* and *hao* gene fragments. Similar tree topologies were observed in the phylogenetic trees constructed for the three genes, clustering *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrosospira* lineages (betaproteobacterial AOB) together, separated from *Nitrosococcus* lineage (gammaproteobacterial AOB) (Fig 1). *Nitrosomonas* lineage in the present study constituted of three stable branches (100 bootstrap value) excluding *Nitrosomonas nitrosa* and *Nitrosomonas oligotropha* in the trees derived from all the three genes. *Nitrosmonas nitrosa* clustered in *Nitrosospira* lineage according to 16S rRNA phylogeny but was clustered with *Nitrosomonas* lineage according to the functional genes (*amoA* and *hao*) based phylogenies. Similarly, UN DnrA and UN N1 formed separate branches according to 16S rRNA gene phylogeny but were included in the *Nitrosomonas* lineage according to both the functional genes (Fig 1). *Nitrosomonas* oligotropha in amoA and 16S rRNA genes based phylogenies separated from the *Nitrosomonas* lineage whereas in the *hao* phylogeny it was included in the *Nitrosomonas* lineage indicating that its *hao* sequence was more closely related with other members of the *Nitrosomonas* lineage than 16S rRNA and *amoA* genes.



Life Science Informatics Publications



**Figure 1:** Phylogenetic tree reflecting the relationships of the 21 AOB with respect to the three genes a) 16S rRNA b) *amo*A and c) *hao* using the Neighbor Joining method. The sum of branch length were 0.452 for 16S rRNA, 1.18 for *amo*A and 1.073 for *hao*. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. Bar indicates 2% estimated sequence divergence in case of 16S rRNA and 5% estimated sequence divergence for *amo*A and *hao* trees.

Shannon's index of diversity (H') was calculated using the three genes individually and were estimated to be 2.02, 1.99 and 1.92 using 16S rRNA, amoA and hao gene fragments respectively. Evenness (E) in the population calculated for 16S rRNA, amoA and hao genes were 0.88, 0.86 and 0.83 respectively. The extent of diversity of the studied AOB with respect to both richness and evenness in the population was found to be similar using the three genes. Shannon's t-tests was therefore applied find out the significance of the minor differences observed in the Shannon's index of diversity. Shannon's t-tests and p-values calculated for a) 16S rRNA and amoA gene pair, b) amoA and hao gene pair and c) 16S rRNA and hao gene pair were 0.146, 0.317, 0.452 and 0.88, 0.65, 0.57 respectively. The obtained t-test values for the pairs of genes were less than the table value 2.021 and p-values of the two-tailed test were more than 0.05. This indicated that there was no significant difference in the diversity of AOB observed through 16S rRNA, amoA and hao gene fragments. Although overall phylogeny of 16S rRNA, amoA and hao genes showed similarity in tree topology but distinct difference was observed in the branch lengths of the functional genes (amoA and hao; 1.18 and 1.073 respectively) compared to the 16S rRNA gene (0.452) (Fig 1). This suggests that there were differences in the evolutionary rates of the functional genes compared to 16S rRNA. Since, the branch lengths of *amoA* and *hao* gene (functional genes) based phylogenetic

Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications trees showed similarity, statistical analysis was carried out to test congruence between the trees based on the vector of the pair wise distance between the leaves according to Arnaoudova et al. [29].  $||v(T_1) - v(T_2)||$  for the phylogenetic trees based on the gene pairs a) *amo*A and *hao*, b) *amo*A and 16S rRNA and c) *hao* and 16S rRNA were 0.6, 2.2 and 1.8 respectively. The value for *amo*A and *hao* gene pair being close to zero and complied with our hypothesis that *amo*A and *hao* gene based phylogenetic trees were congruent whereas when the functional genes were compared to the phylogenetic marker i.e. *amo*A and 16S rRNA and *hao* and 16S rRNA, the values were much higher than zero and were suggestive on their incongruent nature. Transitions and transversions form the base in plotting phylogenetic trees, it led us to study the magnitude of genetic variation in AOB based on transitions and transversions.

#### 3.2 Magnitude of genetic variation in AOB with respect to 16S rRNA, amoA and hao genes

Patterns of nucleotide substitutions in the three genes showed higher transitions (Ti) than transversions (Tv) (Table 2). Higher transitions are commonly observed for most genes [32, 33]. Amongst the three genes (16S rRNA, *amo*A and *hao*), Ti substitution were more predominant in 16S rRNA than *amo*A and *hao* genes (Table 2). Ti/Tv rate ratios are extremely important in studying DNA sequence evolution, distance and phylogeny reconstruction [34, 35] and the level of transition bias varies in different microorganisms and also in the different genes in a group of microorganisms; thereby it assists in comprehending the patterns of molecular evolution of the genes [36]. High Ti/Tv rate ratios are indicative of low levels of genetic divergence [35]. 16S rRNA gene showed higher Ti/Tv rates ratios for both purines and pyrimidines (K1-3.641 and K2-8.581) than the functional genes *amo*A and *hao* and overall p-distance calculated for 16S rRNA gene was 3 folds lower than *amo*A and *hao* genes. Congruence observed in the phylogenetic trees based on *amo*A and *hao* genes and similar genetic divergence observed through Ti/Tv rates paved way to study their co-evolution.

ine studied AOD												
		А			Т			С			G	
	16S		1	16S		1	16S		l	16S		1
	rRNA	amoA	nao	rRNA	amoA	nao rRNA	amoA	nao	rRNA	um0A	nao	
А	-	-	-	2.64	6.23	3.72	2.86	4.71	6.54	14.66	10.31	10.16
Т	3.39	4.18	6.28	-	-	-	24.5	17.5	21.74	4.03	5.38	5.92
С	3.39	4.18	6.28	22.68	23.17	12.38	-	-	-	4.03	5.38	5.92
G	12.33	8.01	10.79	2.64	6.23	3.72	2.86	4.71	6.54	-	-	-

 Table 2 Pattern of nucleotide substitution in the 16S rRNA, amoA and hao gene fragments in

 the studied AOB

	16S rRNA	amoA	hao
Ti/Tv rate (Purines) - K1	3.641	1.918	1.717
Ti/Tv rate (Pyrimidines) - K2	8.581	3.717	3.325
Over all Ti/Tv bias - R	2.867	1.508	1.275
Overall average P distance	0.065	0.184	0.169

#### Table 3 Estimate of the mean diversity of the entire population with respect to the three genes

#### 3.3 Co-evolution *amoA* and *hao* genes and their correlation with 16S rRNA gene

The percent sequence similarity between all the species was analyzed to examine the correlation between the evolutionary rates of amoA and hao genes and were compared with 16S rRNA gene. Percentage sequence similarity values were represented in correlation plots (Fig 2). A linear regression was applied to the correlation plots for the three genes where a high  $r^2$  value was indicative of co-evolution between pairs of genes. Amongst the co-evolving genes, comparative evolutionary rates could be determined from the slope of the curve [7]. Significant linear correlation was observed from the comparison between *amoA* and *hao* genes ( $r^2=0.9$ , p value < 0.001) whereas lower correlation was found between amoA and 16S rRNA and between hao and 16S rRNA gene pairs (*amoA*/16S rRNA gene  $r^2=0.65$  and *hao*/16S rRNA gene  $r^2=0.57$ , p value < 0.001 in both the case). This indicated co-evolution of amoA and hao genes (Fig 2). However, slope of the correlation curve between amoA and hao was 0.843 which suggested that amongst these two co-evolving genes, amoA had higher evolutionary rate compared to hao gene (Fig 2). The differences in the evolutionary rates between the genes have been reported to correlate with the function of the enzymes coded by the genes [7]. AMO can act on a number of substrates including aliphatic, aromatic and halogenated molecules (methane, diethylether, fluoromethane, chloromethane, benzene, toluene, etc.) thereby supporting AOB to endure heterotrophic conditions [30]. HAO of AOB can catalyze oxidation of hydroxylamine differentially, producing nitrite under aerobic conditions and N2O and NO under microaerophilic conditions enabling them to thrive under the said conditions [30]. Due to these diverse catalytic activities of both the enzymes involved in the same pathway, different selective pressures could have acted upon them resulting in differences in their evolutionary rates. Evolutionary history of HAO reported by Klotz et al. [27] also supports that amoA and hao genes may have different evolutionary rates. Despite having differences in the evolutionary rates of amoA and hao genes their Pearson's correlation coefficient (r-0.949, p-value < 0.001) suggested co-evolution of the two genes. Pearson's correlation coefficients for 16S rRNA with *amo*A and *hao* genes were r = 0.806 and 0.757 respectively with *p*-value < 0.001 indicating

© 2018 Life Science Informatics Publication All rights reserved

Peer review under responsibility of Life Science Informatics Publications

2018 Sept – Oct RJLBPCS 4(5) Page No.102

Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications significantly lesser correlation of the two genes with 16S rRNA gene compared to the correlation observed amongst the functional genes. The results are in agreement with Li and Rodrigo [24] who showed that functionally related genes co-evolution, while studying 216 genes from 10 prokaryotic species.



**Figure 2:** Correlation plots of a) *amo*A and 16S rRNA gene % sequence similarity b) *hao* and 16S rRNA gene % sequence similarity and c) *amo*A and *hao* gene % sequence similarity

Codon based evolutionary relationship between *amo*A and *hao* genes analyzed using MEGA 4 software showed that out of the total mutations in *amo*A and *hao* genes, synonymous mutations in both the genes were interestingly 29.6% and 29.5% respectively and similarly nonsynonymous mutations were 70.4% and 70.5% respectively. This again indicates high correlation between *amo*A and *hao* genes and confirms the co-evolution of *amo*A and *hao* genes. Rates of synonymous (d<sub>s</sub>) and nonsynonymous substitutions (d<sub>N</sub>) calculated according to Nei and Gojobori [37] using the MEGA 4 software (Table 7) showed higher d<sub>N</sub> than d<sub>s</sub> in both *amo*A and *hao* genes. Ratio of d<sub>N</sub>:d<sub>s</sub>

Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications were found to be 1.92 and 1.56 respectively for *amo*A and *hao* genes, indicative of advantageous selection for new mutants than neutral variants and is suggestive of adaptive or positive Darwinian selection in both the genes.

	amoA	hao
No. of Synonymous mutations	116.71 (29.6%)	202.41 (29.5%)
No. of Nonsynonymous mutations	277.33 (70.4%)	483.56 (70.5%)
Total mutations	394.043 (100%)	685.04 (100%)
Rate of nonsynonymous mutations $(D_N)$	0.212	0.180
Rate of synonymous mutations (D <sub>s</sub> )	0.110	0.115
D <sub>N</sub> /D <sub>S</sub>	1.92	1.56

Table 4 Estimate of the mean codon-based evolutionary diversity for the entire population

# 4. CONCLUSION

Phylogenetic trees constructed using the three genes *amoA*, *hao* and 16S rRNA showed statistically significant similar topologies. Grouping of AOB was found to be better using *hao* gene fragment. Diversity index calculated for AOB using the three genes were found to be similar. Sequence variation analysis, of the marker genes of AOB, showed bias towards transitions over transversions. Higher sequence divergence in *amoA* and *hao* gene fragments was observed compared to 16S rRNA gene and hence the marker genes have higher evolutionary rates compared to 16S rRNA gene. Synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions were exceedingly similar in *amoA* and *hao* gene fragments. *hao* based AOB phylogeny was highly consistent with *amoA* and 16S rRNA gene based phylogenies with better separation of *Nitrosomonas* sp. Furthermore, co-evolution of *amoA* and *hao* genes suggests that *hao* can also be used at par with *amoA* as an alternative phylogenetic marker in studying the diversity and evolution of AOB.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Prof. Anjana Desai for her valuable guidance in the study.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares that there is no financial interest or any conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Head IM, Hiorns WD, Embley TM, McCarthy AJ, Saunders JR. The phylogeny of autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria as determined by analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. J Gen Microbiol. 1993; 139:1147–1153.
- 2. Kowalchuk GA, Stephen JR. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: a model for molecular microbial ecology. Ann Rev of Microbiol. 2001; 55: 485-529.
- 3. McCaig AE, Embley TM, Prosser JI. Molecular analysis of enrichment cultures of marine ammonia oxidisers. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1994; 120: 363-368.
- Purkhold U, Pommerening-Röser A, Juretschko S, Schmid MC, Koops HP, Wagner M.
   © 2018 Life Science Informatics Publication All rights reserved Peer review under responsibility of Life Science Informatics Publications 2018 Sept – Oct RJLBPCS 4(5) Page No.104

- Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications
  Phylogeny of all recognized species of ammonia oxidizers based on comparative 16S rRNA and *amo*A sequence analysis: implications for molecular diversity surveys. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000; 66: 5368–5382.
- 5. Purkhold U, Wagner M, Timmermann G, Pommerening- Roser A, Koops HP. 16S rRNA and *amo*A-based phylogeny of 12 novel betaproteobacterial ammonia-oxidizing isolates: extension of the dataset and proposal of a new lineage within the nitrosomonads. Int J of Sys Evol Microbiol. 2003; 53:1485-1494.
- 6. Bothe H, Jost G, Schloter M, Ward BB, Witzel KP. Molecular analysis of ammonia oxidation and denitrification in natural environments. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2000; 24:673–690.
- Junier P, Kim O, Junier T, Ahn T, Imhoff JF, Witzel K. Community analysis of betaproteobacterial ammonia-oxidizing bacteria using the *amo*CAB operon. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2009; 83:175-188.
- Junier P, Molina V, Dorador C, Hadas O, Kim O, Junier T, et. al. Phylogenetic and functional marker genes to study ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms (AOM) in the environment. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2010; 85: 425-440.
- Aakra A, Utaker JB, Ingolf F. Comparative phylogeny of the ammonia monooxygenase subunit A and 16S rRNA genes of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2001a; 205: 237-242.
- Aakra A, Utaker JB, Pommerening-Roser A, Koops HP, Nes IF. Detailed phylogeny of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria determined by rDNA sequences and DNA homology values. Int J Sys Evol Microbiol. 2001b; 51:2021-2030.
- Rotthauwe JH, Witzel KP, Liesack W. The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene *amoA* as a functional marker: molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1997; 63: 4704–4712.
- Kowalchuk GA, Stienstra AW, Heilig GH, Stephen JR, Woldendorp JW. Molecular analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in soil of successional grasslands of the Drentsche A (TheNetherlands). FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2000; 31:207-215.
- Qiu S, Chen G, Zhou Y. Abundance and Diversity of Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria in relation to ammonium in a Chinese shallow eutrophic urban lake. Brazilian J of Microbiol. 2010; 41:218-226.
- Wang S, Ye J, Perez PG, Huang DF. Abundance and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in rhizosphere and bulk paddy soil under different duration of organic management. Afr J Microbiol Res. 2011; 5:5560-5568.
- 15. Könneke M, Bernhard AE, de la Torre JR, Walker CB, Waterbury JB, Stahl DA. Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. Nature 2005; 437: 543–546.
- 16. Bernhard AE, Landry ZC, Blevins A, Torre Jose R, de la Giblin AE, Stahl DA. Abundance of

- Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications
   Ammonia Oxidizing Archaea and Bacteria along an Estuarine Salinity Gradient in Relation to
   Potential Nitrification Rates. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010; 76:1285-1289.
- Jin T, Zhang T, Ye L, Lee OO, Wong YH, Qian PY. Diversity and quantity of ammoniaoxidizing Archaea and Bacteria in sediment of the Pearl River Estuary, China. Appl Microbiol and Biotechnol. 2011; 90:1137-1145.
- Koops HP, Purkhold U, Pommerening-Röser A, Timmermann G, Wagner M. The lithoautotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. In: Dworkin M (ed) The prokaryotes. Springer, New York, 2003.
- 19. Arp DJ, Sayavedra-Soto LA, Hommes NG. Molecular biology and biochemistry of ammonia oxidation by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Arch Microbiol. 2002; 178: 250–255.
- Shinozaki H, Fukui M. Comparison of 16S rRNA, ammonia monooxygenase subunit A and hydroxylamine oxidoreductase gene, in chemolithotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. J Gen Appl Microbiol. 2002; 48:173–176.
- 21. Schmid MC, Hooper AB, Klotz MG. Environmental detection of octahaem cytochrome c hydroxylamine/hydrazine oxidoreductase genes of aerobic and anaerobic ammonium oxidizing bacteria. Environ Microbiol. 2008; 10:3140–3149.
- Keluskar R, Desai A. Evaluation of hydroxylamine oxidoreductase as a functional and phylogenetic marker to differentiate *Nitrosomonas* spp. 2013; J Basic Microbiol. 2014; 54:261-268.
- 23. Hakes L, Lovell SC, Oliver SG, Robertson DL. Specificity in protein interactions and its relationship with sequence diversity and coevolution. PNAS. 2007; 104:7999–8004.
- 24. Li WLS, Rodrigo AG. Covariation of Branch Lengths in Phylogenies of Functionally Related Genes. PLoS One. 2009; 4:1-9.
- 25. Holmes AJ, Costello A, Lidstrom ME, Murrell JC. Evidence that particulate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase may be evolutionarily related. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1995; 132: 203-208.
- Koltz MG, Norton JM. Multiple copies of ammonia monooxygenase (*amo*) operons have evolved under biased AT/GC mutational pressure in ammonia-oxidizing autotrophic bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1998; 168: 303-311.
- 27. Klotz MG, Schmid MC, Strous M, op den Camp HJM, Jetten MSM, Hooper AB. Evolution of an octahaem cytochrome c protein family that is key to aerobic and anaerobic ammonia oxidation by bacteria. Environ Microbiol. 2008; 10: 3150–3163.
- 28. Shannon CE. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Labs Tech J. 1948; 27:379-423 and 623-656.
- 29. Arnaoudova E, Haws DC, Huggins P, Jaromczyk JW, Moore N, Schardl CL, Yoshida R, Statistical phylogenetic tree analysis using differences of means. Front Neurosci. 2010; 4:1-7.

Keluskar RJLBPCS 2018 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications

- Hooper AB, Vannelli T, Bergmann DJ, Arcerio DM. Enzymology of the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite by bacteria. Antonie Leeuwenhoek. 1997; 71:59-67.
- Bergmann DJ, Hooper AB, Klotz MG. Structure and Sequence Conservation of *hao* Cluster Genes of Autotrophic Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria: Evidence for Their Evolutionary History. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2005; 71: 5371–5382.
- 32. Gojobori T, Li W-H, Graur D. Patterns of nucleotide substitution in pseudo genes and functional genes. J Mol Evol 1982; 18:360-369.
- Wakeley J. Substitution rate variation among sites and the estimation of transition bias. Mol Biol Evol. 1994; 11:436-442.
- 34. Wakeley J. The excess of transitions among nucleotide substitutions: New methods of estimating transition bias underscore its significance. TREE 1996; 11:158-163.
- Yang Z, Yoder AD. Estimation of the Transition/Transversion Rate Bias and Species Sampling. J Mol Evol. 1999; 48:274-283.
- 36. Strandberg AKK, Salter LA. A comparison of methods for estimating the transtition:transversion ratio from DNA sequences. Mol Phylogen Evol. 2004; 32:495-503.
- 37. Nei M, Gojobori T. Simple methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. Mol Biol Evol. 1986; 3:418-426.