www.rjlbpcs.com



Life Science Informatics Publications

Research Journal of Life Sciences, Bioinformatics, Pharmaceutical and Chemical Sciences

Journal Home page http://www.rjlbpcs.com/



Original Research Article

DOI: 10.26479/2021.0706.09

ISOLATION, SCREENING AND MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION OF AMYLASE PRODUCING BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM DAIRY PRODUCTS Nagea Abdalsadiq¹*, Salema R. Mqowaider², Ola M. Aabdalrahim¹

1. Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Omar Al-Mukhtar University (Omu), El-Beida-Libya, P.O. Box 919 El-Beida-Libya.

 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Omar Al-Mukhtar University (Omu), El-Beida-Libya, P.O. Box 919 El-Beida-Libya.

ABSTRACT: Probiotic bacteria are the favored source of enzyme appropriate to a diversity of industries, such as food, newspaper, cleaner, and energy. The aim of this study was to isolate, molecular characterization and screen probiotic bacteria for amylase production. In this study, isolation, identification, and molecular characterization of probiotic bacteria from different dairy samples were carried out. Later, biotechnologically important enzyme production potentials of the isolates were determined. The results revealed that, the amylase from probiotic bacteria were able to hydrolyze starch into glucose and dextrin's where halos zone around bacteria growth was observed with twelve bacteria plates. The twelve bacteria strains were identified as *Levilactobacillus brevis*, *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*, *Lactococcus lactis* and *Lactiplantibacillus argentoratensis*. This study concluded that, amylolytic activity of beneficial microbial encourage the application of the amylase enzyme in many bio-industrial applications. **Keywords:** Probiotic bacteria, Amylase enzyme, Amylolytic activity, 16s rDNA PCR.

Article History: Received: Nov 15, 2021; Revised: Nov 30, 2021; Accepted: Dec 10, 2021.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nagea Abdalsadiq* Ph.D.

Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Omar Al-Mukhtar University (Omu), El-Beida-Libya, P.O. Box 919 El-Beida-Libya. Email Address: nageyaa@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Probiotics are described as live bacteria that, when given in sufficient concentrations, provide

Abdalsadig et al RJLBPCS 2021 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications health advantages to the host. Probiotic bacteria should be able to withstand and survive the harsh circumstances found in the host's intestine. It should arrive at the action site in a physiologically viable condition and defend itself against pathogens by creating antimicrobial compounds such as bacteriocins or metabolites such as organic acids. Lactic acid bacteria are the most common probiotic bacteria that have favorable effects on the GI tract of the host [1]. Lb. acidophilus, Lb. plantarum, Lb. rhamnosus, Lb. paracasei, Lb. casei, and Lb. gasseri are among the Lactobacillus species. LAB is a group of probiotic bacteria that consists of genus such as Streptococcus, Lactococcus, Pediococcus, Enterococcus, Lactobacillus is commonly found in dairy and fermented foods [2]. [3], [4], [5]. There are many studies reporting the health benefits of fermented dairy products. Fermented foods typically contain microorganisms considered to be generally regarded as safe (GRAS), which can produce a range of beneficial by-products / metabolites such as antimicrobial peptides (e.g., bacteriocins), ethanol, organic acids, fatty acids, carbon dioxide [6]. [7]. It is known that products resulting from LAB-induced fermentations have anti-cancer [8]. immunomodulatory [9], anti-gastritis [10], [11], Antihypertensive [12], [13] and anti-allergenic effects [14], [15]. Amylases are the enzymes catalyze the initial hydrolysis of starch into short oligosaccharides through the cleavage of a-D 1, 4 glycosidic bonds [16]. [17]. Starch hydrolyzed with amylase overcomes the acidic nature and helps in maintaining high temperature and there by producing fructose syrup. Various sources like plants, animals, bacteria, fungi, and yeast secrete amylases as an extracellular enzyme. Present day the commercially available amylases are obtained from microbial sources. The advantage of using microbial amylases in industrial application is that they are more stable than any other source and easy to manipulate to obtain enzyme of desirable characteristics in bulk production and economical [18]. [19]. Amylase enzyme is produced by some lactic acid bacteria. Lactobacillus that produces amylase is found in the gastrointestinal tracts of chickens, pigs, horses, rabbits, and humans, including babies [20]. [21]. Amylases are gaining popularity due to their potential commercial applications in starch liquefaction, brewing, textile sizing, paper, and detergent sectors. However, amylase's use has expanded to include medical, clinical, and analytical chemistry, where it is used in the formulation of lotions, ointments, and the creation of biopolymers such surgical sutures and controlled drug delivery systems. lactic acid bacteria with amylolytic activity include Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus manihotivorans, and Lactobacillus fermentum [22]. Because Lactobacillus amylases are nonpathogenic and the end product of fermentation is lactate, which is utilized as a flavoring ingredient in the food industry, their use is regarded safe [23]. Tevea et al. [24] investigated a very thermostable a-amylase enzyme isolated from soil from Lactobacillus fermentum 04BBA19. Using Bacillus amyloliquefaciens 04BBA15, Lactobacillus fermentum 04BBA19,

Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Fossi et al. [25] investigated microbial interactions for increasing amylase production. *Bacillus amyloliqueaciens 04BBA15*, *Lactobacillus fermentum 04BBA19* and *S. cerevisiae* was used to boost amylase output. In the manufacturing industry, starch is a cost-effective carbon source. The use of starch and *amyloprobiotic* bacteria together improves the fermentation and produces lactic acid in a single step, lowering the general fermentation cost. The primary goal of this study was to isolate, molecular characterization and screen probiotic bacteria for amylase production.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Probiotic Bacteria Isolation

Probiotic bacteria were obtained from dairy product samples in Malaysia. appropriate volume of dilution was blended with 90 ml 0.1% peptone water then spread on MRS plates with 0.8 percent calcium carbonate. Plates were incubated in an anaerobic jar to 48 hours at 37°C. Catalase activity was determined for each isolate by introducing a drop of 4% hydrogen peroxide solution to the cells. Bubbles appeared almost instantaneously, showing that catalase was present in the cells. Gram-staining was performed only on catalase-negative isolates. To get pure isolates, the morphology was evaluated using a Nikon microscope and streaked on MRS agar. All of the bacterial species used in this research were stored at -20°C in a 15 percent glycerol stock. Re-culturing was done in MRS broth at anaerobic conditions at 37°C. bacterial strains were sub-cultured no more than four times (1 percent, v/v) at 24-hour intervals prior to the start of the tests (Kheadr, 2006) [26].

2.2 Screening of Amylolytic Activity by Probiotic Bacteria

The Isolates were inoculated into the suitable media to detect amylase activity, and the clearance zone was evaluated. Amylase activity was determined by inoculated bacterial strains into MRS (2% starch, 0.5 % peptone, 0.7% yeast extract, 0.2% NaCl, and 1.5% agar). Following the incubation, clearing zone was observed using Gram's iodine as a detection agent [27].

2.3 Molecular Identification of the eight Strains Probiotic Bacteria Using 16s rDNA PCR Jarvis & Hoffman (2004) [28]. method was used to molecular identification of the six strains of probiotic bacteria. Bacteria DNA was extracted from an overnight culture in 20 ml MRS broth at 30 °C using DNA Purification Kit (USA). The pellet was collected after centrifugation of 1ml of overnight bacterial culture11500 rpm for 10 min at 25 °C (Eppendorf centrifuge). 150 μ l of (TE buffer) was added to the pellet then incubation at 37 °C. 150 μ l of gram-positive lysis was mixed with1 μ l of proteinase K (Sigma) and then the TE buffered was added to the mixture then thorough mixing. The sample was incubated for 15 min at 65-70 °C after that vertexing for two min. The sample was placed for 5 min on ice. The following step

Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications was by adding 175 μ l of reagent (protein precipitation) to each sample, then centrifugation for 10 min at 4 °C at 13,000 rpm. The pellets were discarded, and the cell free supernatant was moved to clean tubes. Next step to each sample1 μ l of RNase II (5 μ g/ μ l) was added and thorough mixing followed by incubation for 30 min at 37 °C. Cell free supernatant was mixed with 500 μ l of isopropanol followed by centrifuging 10 min at 13,000 rpm. DNA pellet was kept in place. 200 μ l (ethanol 70%) was used to washes DNA pellet and centrifuged 2 min at 5,000 rpm. DNA was resuspended in 35 μ l of deionized After remove the ethanol. DNA was stored at -20 °C for future study.

2.4 Gel Electrophoresis of 16s rDNA PCR Product

Gel electrophoresis analysis was done for the PCR products from universal primer for expected size. 2μ l of PCR product mixture was put in electrophoresis for 45 min in 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels in 0.5 x TEA buffer. DNA marker (250 to 10,000 bp) from 1st Base, Malaysia was using as standard. Ethidium bromide was used to stain electrophoresis gels then rinsing the gels. Photographs was taken with UV transilluminator (Bio-Rad, Italy). The six probiotic bacteria primers sequences of 16S rDNA were founded by 1st Base, Malaysia. The sequences were compared with the databases (Gen-Bank).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Thirty-five of probiotic bacteria that isolated from dairy products were identified as lactic acid bacteria because they produced clear zone on MRS agar supplied with CaCO3, Gram positive and catalase negative as in table [1] and figure [1, 2]. Results of biochemical and morphological tests showed bacterial diversity of dairy products. Study by Afridi et al., [29]. bacterial isolates were identified as *Bacillus* and *Clostridium spp*, according to biochemical and morphological characteristics.



Figure 1: lab isolates producing clear zone on modified mrs-caco₃ agar



Figure 2: gram positive of lab

3.1 Amylolytic activity of Probiotic bacteria

Microbial products (fungi and bacterial) are the most favorite sources to get amylase enzymes because they easy to cultivate, their short generation, require little space and powerful

Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications purification procedures [30]. Out of thirty-five isolates, fifteen bacteria showed amylolytic activity on starch agar plate. Formation of halos zone around bacteria growth was observed with fifteen bacteria plates, indicating the present of amylolytic activity (starch hydrolysis activity). Amylase's enzyme can used alternative to chemical catalysts and acidic hydrolysis for degradation of starch which is obtainable in large quantities in refuse tank [31]. In the presence of iodine, starch agar plate of other bacteria showed bluish color, due to the absence of amylolytic activity (table [1]and figure [3, 4, 5, 6]. Amylases are important enzyme used in many industries. This enzyme plays important role in starch hydrolysis into glucose and dextrin. Amylase's enzyme used in several industries of food such as pulp and textile [32].

Sample	Bacterial	Catalase	Gram	Morphology	Starch
	Codes	reaction	reaction	of cell	Hvdrolvsis
Fermented	FM1	-	+	Rod	Negative
milk	FM2	-	+	Rod	Positive
	FM3	-	+	Short rod	Positive
Yogurt	FM4	-	+	Rod	Positive
	FM5	-	+	Cocci	Positive
	Y1	-	+	Cocci	Positive
Yogurt	Y2	-	+	Short rod	Positive
	Y3	-	+	Short rod	Positive
Soft	CH1	-	+	Cocci	Positive
Cheese	CH2	-	+	Cocci	Negative
	CH3	-	+	Rod	Positive
Cheese Whey	CH4	-	+	Short rod,	Positive
	WH1	-	+	Rod	Negative
	WH2	-	+	Cocci	Positive
	WH3	-	+	Cocci	Positive

Table 1. Phenotypic characteristics and starch hydrolysis of probiotic bacteria

Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021

www.rjlbpcs.com

Life Science Informatics Publications



Figure 3: Formation of halo zone by fm4 isolate



Figure 5: Formation of halo zone by ch3 isolate



Figure 4: Formation of halo zone by y1 isolate



Figure 6: Formation of halo zone by WH3 isolate

3.2 Molecular identification of probiotic bacteria using 16s rDNA with specific primers Molecular characterization of bacterial DNA using universal primer showed clear strain bands with molecular weight 1400 bp [Figure 7]. The similarity between each strain in this study and other bacteria in data base was estimated as in table 1. The similarity between probiotic isolates (FM1, FM2, FM3, FM4, FM5, Y1, Y2, Y3, CH1, CH2, CH3, CH4, WH1, WH2, WH3) and bacteria from gene bank was estimated by (.100%, 100%, 99.93%, 99.93%, 99.93%, 99.93%, 99.95%, 99.93%, 99.93%, 99.93%, 99.95%, 100%, 99.93%, 99.93%, 99.93%). Different accession number were used to keep each bacterial sequences in the Gene Bank database. The accession numbers of probiotic isolates were (GU2959501, MT61364211, MT6117021, MT6048101, MT6136421, MT5976471, MT5338614, MT5385471, LC3799731, CP0781321,

Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications JQ7236991, JQ4112481, GU1386061, MZ7876271 and OK0364721 respectively [able 2]. This study reported the probiotic bacterial amylase isolated from different dairy products had a molecular weight of1400bp. In previous study, three isolates S17, S5 and S13 were identified by 16S rDNA sequencing of gene and similarity with *Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus tequilensis* and *benzoelyticum* was estimated by 97% as indicated by analysis of phylogenetic [33].

Bacterial	Identification	Similarity	Accession No.
Codes	I milactobacillus bunis		CU2050501
FM1	Levilaciodacilius drevis	100%	602939301
FM2	Levilactobacillus brevis	100%	MT6136421
FM3	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	99.93%	MT6117021
FM4	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	99.93%	MT6048101
FM5	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	99.93%	MT6136421
Y1	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	99.93%	MT5976471
Y2	Lactococcus lactis	99.95%	MT5338614
Y3	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	99.93%	MT5385471
CH1	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	99.93%	LC3799731
CH2	Levilactobacillus brevis	99.93%	CP0781321
CH3	Lactococcus lactis	99.95%	JQ7236991
CH4	Levilactobacillus brevis	100%	JQ4112481
WH1,	Levilactobacillus brevis	99.93%	GU1386061
WH2	Lactiplantibacillus	99.93%	MZ7876271
WH3	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	99.93%	OK0364721

Table 2: Molecular identification of bacterial isolates

Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021

Life Science Informatics Publications



Figure 7: probiotic bacterial DNA bands on the 1.5 % agarose gel using universal primer

4. CONCLUSION

A total of Thirty-five of probiotic bacteria were isolated from dairy products and screened for amylolytic activity. Based on formation of halos zone around bacteria growth, fifteen isolates were capable of starch hydrolysis compared to other probiotic isolates. The fifteen isolates showed high amylolytic activity and identified by PCR, and therefore, these strains of probiotic bacteria. can be considered promising enzyme production.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No Animals/Humans were used for studies that are base of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The author confirms that the data supporting the findings of this research are available within the article.

FUNDING

None.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Faculty of Science, University Omar Al-Mukhtar for their

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sundarram A, Murthy TPK. α-Amylase Production and Applications: A Review. J Appl Environ Microb. 2014; 2:166-75.
- 2. Alsaheb R, Azzam A, Othman NZ, Malek R, Leng OM, Aziz R, Hesham A. Recent applications of polylactic acid in pharmaceutical and medical industries. J Chem Pharmace Res 2015; 7:729.35.
- 3. Bogale AT, Prapulla SG. Screening of potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria and production of amylase and its partial purification J Mod Chem Appl Sci 2015;2(4):211–4.
- 4. Azam M, Mohsin M, Ijaz H et al (2017) Lactic acid bacteria in traditional fermented Asian foods. Pak J Pharm Sci 30(5):1803–1814.
- 5. Barbieri F, Montanari C, Gardini F, Tabanelli G (2019) Biogenic Amine Production by Lactic Acid Bacteria: A Revi. Foods 8(1). 52-75.
- 6. Marco ML, Heeney D, Binda S et al (2017) Health benefits of fermented foods: microbiota and beyond. Curr Opin Biotech. 44:94–102.
- 7. Bindu A, Lakshmidevi N (2021) Identification and in vitro evaluation of probiotic attributes of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented food sources. Arch Microbio.203(2):579-595.
- 8. Qian BJ, Xing MZ, Cui L et al (2011) Antioxidant, antihypertensive, and immunomodulatory activities of peptide fractions from fermented skim milk with Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp bulgaricus LB340. J Dairy Res 78(1):72–79.
- 9. Layus BI, Gerez CL, Rodriguez AV (2020) Antibacterial Activity of Lactobacillus plantarum CRL 759 Against Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Arab J Sci Eng 45(6):4503–4510.
- 10. Drywien M, Frackiewicz J, Gornicka M, Gadek J, Jalosinska M (2015) Effect of probiotic and storage time of thiamine and riboflavin content in the milk drinks fermented by Lactobacillus casei KNE-1. Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig 66(4):373–377.
- 11. Drywien M, Frackiewicz J, Gornicka M, Gadek J, Jalosinska M (2016) solation of amylase producing Bacillus species, from soil sample of different regions in Dehradun and to check the effect of pH and Temperature on their amylase activity. Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig 66(4):373-377.
- 12. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB (2011) Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. N Engl J Med 364(25):2392-2404.

Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications
13. Szutowska J, Gwiazdowska D (2021) Probiotic potential of lactic acid bacteria obtained from fermented curly kale juice (vol 203, pg 975, 2021). Arch Microbiol. 44(6):432–440.

- Souza PM, Magalhaes PO. Application of microbial α-amylase in industry A review. Brazilian J Microbi. 2010; 41:850–61.
- 15. Tanyildizi MS, Ozer D, Elibol M. Optimization of-Amylase Production by *Bacillus sp.* Using Response Surface Methodology. Process Bioche. 2005; 40:2291–6.
- 16. Kunal M, Satish V, Ritu T. solation of amylase producing *Bacillus species*, from soil sample of different regions in Dehradun and to check the effect of pH and Temperature on their amylase activity. J Pharm Biome.Sci 2011; 12:12.
- 17. Haki GD, Rakshit SK. Developments in industrially important thermostable enzymes: a review Biores Technol 2003; 89:17–34.
- 18. Morlon-GJ, Guyot JP, Pot B, Jacobe de Haut I, Raimbault M. Microbial and Physiological Characterization of Weakly Amylolytic but Fast-Growing Lactic Acid Bacteria: a Functional Role in Supporting Microbial Diversity in Pozol, a Mexican Fermented Maize Beverage. Int J Syst Bacteri. 2001; 48:1101–9.
- 19. Rao JL, Satyanarayna T. Enhanced secretion, and low temperature stabilization of a hyperthermostable and Ca2+-independent alpha-amylase of *Geobacillus thermoleovorans* by surfactants. Lett Appl Microbi. 2003; 36:191–6.
- Fossi BT, Tavea F, Fontem LA, Robert N, Samuel W. Screening of potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria and production of amylase and its partial purification Biotech. Rep 2014; 4:99–106.
- 21. Singh SK, Ahmed SU, Pandey A. Metabolic engineering approaches for lactic acid production Process Bioche. 2006;41(5):991–1000.
- 22. Tavea F, Fossi BT, Takop NG, Robert N. Screening of potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria and of amylase and its partial purification J Microbi. Res 2016; (35) 6:47–54.
- 23. Usharani B, Muthiraj M. Microbial and Physiological Characterization of WeaklyAmylolytic but Fast-Growing Lactic Acid Bacteria: a Functional Role in Supporting Microbial Diversity in Pozol, a Mexican Fermented Maize Beverage. Appli.and Environ. Microb. 2003; 0099-2240.
- 24. Usharani B,Muthuraj. Production and characterization of protease enzyme from *Bacillus laterosporus*., Afr J Microb.Res 2010;4:1057–63.
- 25. Kheadr E. Impact of Acid and Oxgall on Antibiotic Susceptibility of Probiotic *Lactobacilli*". African Jou. of Agric. Res. Vol. 1: 200; 172-181.
- 26. Ouattara H.G, Koffi B.L, Karou G.T, Sangaré A, Niamke S.L. and Diopoh, J.K. Implication of *Bacillus sp.* in the production of pectinolytic enzymes during cocoa fermentation. Wor.

- Abdalsadiq et al RJLBPCS 2021 www.rjlbpcs.com Life Science Informatics Publications Jour. of Micro. and Biotec., 24 ,2008;9: 1753-1760.
- 27. Tamang, J P. Naturally fermented ethnic soybean foods of Indi. Jou.of Foods 2015: 2(1): 817.
- 28. Yan Z, Zheng X.W, Han B.Z, Han J.S, Nout M.R, Chen J.Y. Monitoring the ecology of *Bacillus* during Daqu incubation, a fermentation starter, using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. Jou. of Microb.and Biotec.2013. 23: 614-22.
- 29. Ghani M., Ansari A, Aman A, Zohra R.R, Siddiqui N.N, Qader S.A.U. Isolation and characterization of different strains of *Bacillus licheniformis* to produce commercially significant enzymes. Pakis.Jour.of Pharm.Scie.2013: 26(4): 691-697.
- Jarvis B.W, Hoffman L.M. "Introducing MasterPureTM Gram Positive DNA Purification Kit". Epicen.Forum. Vol. 11: 2004. p. 5-5.
- 31. Afridi et al.: Molecular characterization and production of bacterial amylases from Shahdara Spring, Pakistan 2020: 18(2):2611-2620.
- 32. Burhan A, Nisa U, Gokhan C, Omer C, Ashabil A, Osman G. Enzymatic properties of a novel thermostable, thermophilic, alkaline and chelator resistant amylase from an *alkaliphilic Bacillus sp.* Isolate ANT-6. – Proces. Bioche. 2003: 38: 1397-1403.
- 33. Sen S. K, Raut S, Satpathy S Rout P, R, Bandyopadhyay B, Mohapatra P. K. Characterizing novel thermophilic amylase producing bacteria from Taptapani hot spring, Odisha, India. – Jundish.J Microbi. (2014: 7(12): e11800.